

MINUTES

South Carolina Auctioneer's Commission
Board Meeting
10:00 A.M., February 28, 2005
Synergy Business Park
110 Centerview Drive, Kingstree Building, Room 108
Columbia, South Carolina

Ms. Frierson, Chairman, of Newberry, called the meeting to order at 10:09 a.m. Other members present included: Archie Moody, Vice Chairman, of Darlington; James Blocker, of Walterboro; and Fred Mullis, of Lancaster.

Staff members participating in the meeting included: Sharon Dantzler, Deputy General Counsel, LLR-Office of General Counsel; Randy Bryant, LLR, Assistant Deputy Director; Connie Huffstetler, Administrative Assistant; Raymond Lee, Staff Inspector; Linda Holman, Administrative Assistant; and Lenora Miles, Administrator.

Members of the public attending the meeting included: Ivan Broadwell, Investigative Review Committee; Patsy Towery, Midlands Technical College; Duane Salter, SDM Inc. Auctions; Johnny Benson, Alliance Auctioneering; Dick Shea, Alliance Auctioneering; Harriet McCoy; and Barbara Mooneyhan, Midlands Technical College

Meeting Called to Order

Ms. Frierson announced that public notice of this meeting was properly posted at the S. C. Auctioneer Commission office, Synergy Business Park, Kingstree Building, Room 108 and provided to all requesting persons, organizations, and news media in compliance with Section 30-4-80 of the South Carolina Freedom of Information Act.

Pledge of Allegiance

All present recited the Pledge of Allegiance.

Approval of the November 15, 2004, Meeting Minutes

Ms. Frierson noted that Ms. McCoy's letter of resignation, which was read aloud at the November 15, 2004 meeting, should be recorded verbatim in the minutes.

MOTION

Mr. Moody moved that the November 15, 2004 meeting minutes be corrected to include a verbatim record of Ms. McCoy's letter of resignation. Mr. Mullis seconded the motion, which carried.

Chairman's Remarks - Rebecca Frierson

Ms. Frierson reminded all present advised that this was a formal meeting of the SC Auctioneers Commission, which is recorded on tape, then drafted as minutes, at which time it becomes a public document and is posted on the internet. She further stated that

what is said in the meeting will be written down and is said in front of people. She welcomed the press to the meeting and stated that it is never the intent for information from the meetings not to be recorded publicly. She urged all present to be careful in their statements and to be certain that what is said is true and could stand before a judge and jury. Ms. Frierson advised those making comments or statements within their area of expertise or profession, that they would be held to a higher standard of truth than someone who is less experienced. She continued "For example, you are a professional investigator. Carelessness is the greatest enemy of the professional investigator. You investigate someone and your report contains a number of errors you could have easily corrected, but you were lazy, mad or you just didn't care. Some stuff you just made up because you thought it sounded good. You're not exactly an asset to the investigative community, are you? And because you've been doing this for twenty year or so, your errors, falsifications and inability to remove yourself from a case where you have a personal interest - all that is much worse than the new guy who has been on the job only three months. You knew better and if you have a credible boss, your boss is going to hold you accountable, so you have to face the consequences of not performing your job so that it meets professional standards. Especially if your mistakes are because you have a grudge, which means you shouldn't be conducting the investigation anyway because you are biased and you can't be fair. This also applies if you are investigating someone you are friendly with. You can't be impassive. So in the end, you've shot your credibility. Your boss doesn't know if you'll do your job right the next time. The lawyer you work with isn't sure he trusts whether the facts of the case you investigated are really true and the other lawyers just see you as a welcome cross-examination. You're compromised and they can rip you up on the witness stand. You've established a pattern of behavior, nobody believes a word you say and you're the one who showed them why they should not. You can always tell what a person is made of by how they conduct themselves when they get a title and the power that comes with it. Doing the right thing is not easy and in most cases it is always hard. "

Ms. Frierson then advised those present that the meeting is conducted under parliamentary procedure and gave an explanation as to the rules of the meeting. She said "Use your manners. Only speak when you are called on. Do not speak until you are recognized by the chair. Direct all comments to the chair and don't direct comments to another member. Don't be disruptive. Side conversations are not allowed, neither is walking around the room in a manner that is disruptive to the meeting. That does not mean you cannot excuse yourself if necessary. If you are disruptive, the chair will call for order. If you do not comply, you will be asked to leave. The chair can interrupt you. You should not interrupt the chair. If the chair interrupts you while you are speaking, you should stop speaking and listen to the chair. There are situations in which the chair has the right to interrupt you and you should assume that it is one of those situations that allow the interruption. Organize your thoughts before you speak. You should organize them into two or three main points. Keep focused on the main reason you have for speaking. I have a number of items on today's agenda, so speaking time may be limited. Be civil. Cool heads usually get what they want; hot heads do not."

"The chair does not vote - ever - with the exception of a tie. Only the Commission

members vote. When you hear them make a motion to vote, here is what it is going to sound like. For example 'I make a motion we adjourn for the day. Is there a second to the motion? I second the motion. It is moved and seconded that we adjourn for the day.' If there is any, we will stop and discuss the adjourning. After the discussion, 'Is there any further discussion? Are you ready for the question? The question is to adopt the motion that we adjourn for the day. All in favor. All those opposed. The affirmative has it, the motion is adopted.' We move on."

Administrator's Remarks - Lenora Addison-Miles

Ms. Miles introduced Connie Huffstetler as the new administrative assistant, taking the place of Sandra Dickert who has accepted another position within the agency.

Ms. Miles stated there are 1,090 auctioneers currently licensed and 165 auction firms currently licensed. Since the last regular meeting on November 15, 2004, 16 new auctioneers licenses and 1 firm license has been issued.

Ms. Miles stated there were 8 exam candidates during the December 3, 2004 exam, of which 7 passed with an average score of 79. She further stated the high score on the exam was 91 and the low score was 60. She went on to say the next exam is scheduled for March 11, 2005. Ms. Miles presented the Commission members a list of the new licensees.

Ms. Miles advised the members that an Investigative Review Committee Report would be presented during Executive Session.

Advisory Opinions, If Needed, Office of General Counsel

Legislative Update, If Needed, Legislative Liaison Office

There were no advisory opinions or legislative update given during the February 28, 2005 meeting.

Unfinished Business

Ratification of Mail Ballot for Southeastern School of Auctioneering 2005 CE Course Schedule

MOTION

Mr. Moody made a motion, seconded by Mr. Mullis, to vote on the mail ballot. The motion carried.

MOTION

Mr. Moody moved to approve the ballot. Mr. Mullis seconded the motion, which carried.

New Business

Approval of CEU Class for Evans Education Center

Ms. Miles advised the members that Evans Education Center is an approved CEU Provider in the state of Tennessee. They were previously approved for CEU by the Commission in 2002.

MOTION

Mr. Moody moved to accept the application and approve Evans Education Center as a CEU Provider. Mr. Mullis seconded the motion. After a brief review to ensure all the paperwork was in order, Ms. Frierson called for the vote. The motion carried.

Review/Approval of Application for Angela Lawrimore

Ms. Miles stated that Ms. Lawrimore had been licensed as an auctioneer in 2001 and her license had expire on June 30, 2004. She has retaken the exam and passed with a score of 88. The application was placed on the agenda due to items on the credit report and the file is missing a SLED report.

MOTION

Mr. Moody moved to table the matter of Ms. Lawrimore's application until such time as the SLED report is received and the applicant can appear before the commission to explain items on the credit report. The motion was seconded by Mr. Mullis and carried.

Ms. Frierson called for a motion to change the order of the day.

MOTION

Mr. Moody moved to change the order of the agenda. Mr. Blocker seconded the motion.

Ms. Frierson stated she would like to move to Discussion Items and then return to the other items under new business.

She stated that she had requested, by certified mail, from LLR Director, Adrienne Youmans, and Assistant Deputy Director, Randy Bryant, a line item budget for the past four years. Ms. Frierson has not yet received the information she requested. She commented that she had looked on the internet and noted the following comment from Governor Sanford's last two State of the State addresses:

"We believe in the simple premise that all government spending, wherever that might be, should be disclosed."

Ms. Frierson then asked Mr. Bryant for an explanation as to why she has not received the budget information as she has requested. Mr. Bryant said his understanding was that Ms. Frierson had requested a profit and loss type of statement. Ms. Frierson responded that she had not requested that type of information, but rather a line item budget. Mr. Bryant advised Ms. Frierson that her letter was received and the budget information presented to the members was what had been provided by the LLR finance office in response to her FOIA request. He also explained that Ms. Youmans declined the invitation to attend the meeting and he would be happy to answer any questions about the document before the

Commission members. Ms. Frierson said what she would like is the document that they don't have before them and asked if it would be necessary to file an FOI to get it. Mr. Bryant said that the document presented was what had been provided in response to the request for a line item budget and that if more information is needed, he will be happy to ask for it. Ms. Frierson asked for detailed information from the past four years, to include hotel receipts, meal receipts, etc.

Ms. Frierson went on to say that in the process of trying to obtain budget information, she discovered that the Recovery Fund has only \$150.00 in it. She asked Mr. Bryant to explain. Mr. Bryant said that it was his understanding that there were statutory limitations requiring \$100, 000 to be in the Recovery Fund. Ms. Frierson stated that in July \$124, 750 was transferred out of the account. The document received by members at today's meeting shows over \$300,000 in the Commission's operating account, but she asked why there was no money in the Recovery Fund . She asked if Mr. Bryant had knowledge of the money being transferred from the account and he responded that he did not. Ms. Frierson asked if Mr. Bryant had made recommendations that money be transferred out of that fund and he responded that he had not.

Mr. Blocker asked if the amount to be maintained in the Recovery Fund was \$100,000 or \$125,000. Mr. Bryant answered that he would verify the amount and find out about the funds transferred from the account. Mr. Broadwell stated, for clarification, that the minimum was \$100,000 and anything over \$125,000 would be transferred to the General Fund.

Ms. Frierson said that she understood that at the end of the year, the \$125,000 never gets touched but anything left in the Operating account goes into the General Fund. Mr. Bryant advised Ms. Frierson that her understanding was incorrect. He explained that it was mandated, as reflected in the document presented to the members, that ten percent of the operating budget be remitted to the General Fund.

Ms. Frierson expressed concern over the Recovery Fund being depleted in the event of a claim. She asked who is over the Auctioneer Commission's money. Mr. Bryant explained that LLR has an accounting area that oversees financial matters. Ms. Frierson re-phrased her question to ask if anybody in the administration, other than accounting, is designated to watch where the money goes. Mr. Bryant assured Ms. Frierson that LLR is a good steward of the public's money. He stated that he cannot answer at this time the question regarding a withdrawal from the Recovery Fund but will seek to provide an explanation as soon as possible. Mr. Bryant further stated that the Governor has given his mandate and that LLR has performed since 1993 in the most efficient manner possible to be responsible to not only the Auctioneers Commission, but to the other thirty-nine boards and commissions as well.

Mr. Bryant went on to say that other members of the Commission, and the audience, have know him for years and know him to take seriously his responsibilities. He stated to the members that the Commission is sound. Mr. Bryant noted that he has attended many, many meetings since he began with LLR and never before has he heard remarks

such as those made by the chair in her opening statements. He pledged to the members that nothing unscrupulous or behind the back has occurred.

Ms. Frierson said that the second part of her request was to receive a report on the investigation into Mr. Hall. She said such a report has not been received. Ms. Frierson noted that the Commission made rulings based on information received from Mr. Hall, which was covered in the investigation of Mr. Hall.

MOTION

Mr. Blocker made a motion to defer the matter to Executive Session. Ms. Frierson asked if there was a motion to not discuss the matter publicly. It was noted that there was a motion on the floor. Mr. Moody seconded the motion to defer to Executive Session. The vote was called for and the motion carried.

Ms. Frierson then asked to go back to a discussion of the budget, noting amounts spent on travel the two previous years. Mr. Moody asked if that was not part of what was to be discussed in Executive Session. Mr. Frierson answered that the discussion a personnel matter involving Mr. Hall had been deferred to Executive Session, and that this was a budget matter, which as far as she knew, did not involve Mr. Hall.

Ms. Frierson stated that it was her understanding that travel costs were to be cut by fifteen percent. She asked Mr. Bryant to explain an increase in travel expenses. Mr. Bryant said that the expenses were for travel by Commission members and for investigations. He advised the Commission of the establishment of the Office of Investigations and Enforcement last July in an effort to be more efficient with the resources and to provide a better product to the Office of General Counsel, the prosecuting arm of the agency. Since July 1, 2005, Mr. Bryant has served as Interim Program Director for the OIE. He expects the implementation of this unit to reduce the costs of investigations and prosecutions, thereby reducing travel expenses for not only the Auctioneers Commission, but for all boards and commissions under LLR .

Ms. Frierson noted that the Auctioneers Commission is self-funded and asked what board and/or commissions are not self-funded. Mr. Bryant responded that all but a few were self-funded. Ms. Dantzler advised that she believes there are three that are appropriations funded, the Building Codes Council, Manufactured Housing Board and Pyrotechnics.

Ms. Frierson asked Mr. Bryant to explain the difference between LLR inspectors and investigators. Ms. Bryant stated that certain programs have an inspection component, such as cosmetology, barber and funeral. Within these programs, it is necessary to conduct inspections in order to assure that facilities and individuals within the professions are properly licensed. The inspectors are assigned to a program area. Investigators are assigned to the Office of Investigations and Enforcement to investigate consumer complaints received by the agency. Ms. Frierson asked if the Boxing Commission has inspectors and Mr. Bryant responded that it does.

Ms. Frierson then read a letter from Dean Strickland of D&D Auctions, which she received by fax immediately prior to the meeting. The letter, addressed to the SC Auctioneers Commission, 110 Centerview Drive, Columbia, SC, and dated February 28, 2005, concerned a conversation that occurred in November with several other auctioneers and LLR staff members. The letter gave an account of LLR staff members attending certain boxing events, and their purported actions at these events. A copy of the letter is attached and becomes a part of the permanent record of this meeting.

Ms. Frierson asked Mr. Bryant if he was familiar with such an event. Mr. Bryant noted that the Athletic Commission regulates Toughman and professional boxing events in SC and events such as those described in the letter fall under that regulation. Athletic Commission staff are responsible for attending and regulating those events. Ms. Frierson asked if it was part the staff's job to inspect female boxers for body piercings. Mr. Bryant said he doubted if that is what occurred.

Ms. Frierson said that when she gets the budget information she requested, she would like to know if the car that is paid for by the Auctioneers Commission is used for these trips for the Athletic Commission. Ms. Frierson asked for comments and asked Mr. Moody if he would like to comment. He stated that he doesn't see that the matter has anything to do with the Auctioneers Commission. Ms. Frierson responded that it does if the Commission is paying for the car. Mr. Moody said he thought the car belonged to the state. Ms. Frierson said that information received earlier in the year shows that the Auctioneers Commission pays for a leased car for Mr. Hall to drive.

Mr. Bryant stated that although the Commission has paid for a vehicle, with the implementation of the OIE, it is the intent to reassign most of the vehicles now assigned to individuals and place them in a pool for use by investigators for all boards and commissions. He said these changes will not occur until the OIE has been in place for a year and it can be determined what those needs are. Ms. Frierson asked Mr. Bryant if Mr. Hall is currently driving a car paid for by the Auctioneers Commission to which he responded, "Probably, yes."

Mr. Moody asked who pays for the car that is driven by the Auctioneers inspector. Mr. Bryant noted that, by the decision of the Commission, inspections are no longer done. Complaints are investigated upon receipt, but no routine inspections are conducted. Ms. Frierson again expressed her concern that a car paid for by the Auctioneers Commission was used for the purposes of the Athletic Commission, as described by Mr. Strickland.

Executive Session

Ms. Frierson asked for a motion to enter Executive Session, following a brief recess.

MOTION

Mr. Moody moved to enter Executive Session, seconded by Mr. Blocker. The motion carried.

Return to Public Session

MOTION

Mr. Moody made a motion to return to Public Session. The motion was seconded by Mr. Blocker and carried.

Ms. Frierson noted that no votes were made and no votes taken in Executive Session.

MOTION

Ms. Frierson called for a motion to change the agenda in order to allow Mr. Broadwell to present the proposed Continuing Education Guidelines at this time. Mr. Blocker so moved and Mr. Moody seconded. The motion carried.

Continuing Education Guidelines/Criteria

Mr. Broadwell noted that in his recommendations as presented to Ms. Frierson and Mr. Blocker, an annual fee was proposed for approval of CE. He now feels it best to drop such a requirement, and perhaps only charge a one-time or start-up fee. After discussion, it was suggested to charge the same fee for schools of auctioneering, providers of continuing, and freelance instructors. Mr. Bryant then noted that the Commission was authorized by statute to license auctioneers, firms and apprentice auctioneers. He urged caution in establishing fees for continuing education. Mr. Broadwell said the recommendation was not for a licensing fee, but rather a fee to approve CE in order to recoup the cost to pay for processing the paperwork.

Mr. Bryant said he would like to go on record as saying that creating a more burdensome regulatory climate to renew a license is against what LLR is working to achieve and that such a fee would most likely be opposed by LLR. He expressed concern about creating a more restrictive regulatory climate for licensees by imposing fees for providers of continuing education, which licensees are required to complete in order to maintain their license.

Ms. Frierson stated that the Commission was taking this action due to the absence of documentation and records regarding continuing education approval, which she believes were lost or destroyed by LLR. She expressed concern at not having such documentation and said in an effort to expedite the approval of the proposed continuing education guidelines, she would agree to remove the fee for approval of CE.

MOTION

Mr. Moody moved to strike the processing fee for approval of continuing education from the guidelines presented to the Commission by Mr. Broadwell. Mr. Blocker seconded the motion, which carried.

Ms. Frierson asked if there was a motion to adopt the guidelines in its updated version with the amendment to remove the processing fee for approval.

Mr. Lee noted that he was on the agenda to discuss the guidelines and he requested to be heard on the matter before a vote was taken. Mr. Lee expressed concern as to the

propriety of Ms. Frierson and Mr. Broadwell, as providers of CE, being involved with the drafting and approval of the guidelines.

Ms. Frierson stated that she was not a paid provider of continuing education and that the State Ethics Commission had ruled, in response to a complaint filed by Mr. Lee, that she was not providing continuing education for pay. Mr. Lee presented an opinion he received from the Ethics Commission, stating that as a member of the Auctioneer's Commission, Ms. Frierson has the right to teach continuing education as long as she does not do it on Commission time or use Commission resources.

After reviewing the document, Ms. Frierson stated that in contrast to what was implied by Mr. Lee that she had not asked the Commission to approve her becoming a provider of CE for compensation. She further stated that she taught a four-hour course for the SC Auctioneers Association, for which she received no compensation and paid all of the expenses she incurred for travel, etc. Mr. Lee asked if, prior to her teaching the class, the class was approved by the Commission. Ms. Frierson responded that it was, but unfortunately those documents, which were approved seven years ago, were among the records lost or destroyed by LLR. Mr. Lee said it was his understanding that annual approval was required. Ms. Frierson said that to her knowledge one time approval is all that is required.

Mr. Lee began reading from the statute, Section 8, 13-700, regarding a public official excusing himself from votes, deliberations and other actions in which there is a potential conflict of interest. Ms. Frierson then asked Ms. Dantzler to clarify the question for Mr. Lee. Ms. Dantzler explained that a public member is allowed to deliberate as long as it is in Public Session, but must recuse himself if the matter is addressed in Executive Session.

Mr. Blocker asked for more information as to Mr. Lee's objections. Mr. Lee explained that his objections are not as much with what is contained in the guidelines, but rather in the manner in which Mr. Broadwell was appointed by Ms. Frierson to compose the document, and conferred with Ms. Frierson about the document.

A discussion ensued regarding when Ms. Frierson last taught continuing education for compensation. Ms. Dantzler cautioned Mr. Lee and Ms. Frierson that it was not relevant to the matter at hand, which was approval of the continuing education guidelines.

Mr. Moody asked Mr. Lee about his specific objections to the contents of the guidelines. Mr. Lee stated that he had problems with the fee structure, which was in it originally but has now been removed, and the exemptions for approval, which he does not feel are clear.

Mr. Broadwell noted that the exemptions have been in place for fifteen years. He further stated that in spite of the exemptions, he has requested approval for every course he teaches. Mr. Broadwell emphasized that the guidelines are basically the same as they have always been, with a few minor changes and updates, to make it relevant to

auctioneering today.

Mr. Broadwell read the wording of the exemption as taken from Commission policy. Mr. Bryant suggested that the wording be changed from state employee to governmental employee, in order to include those such as employees of agencies such as Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, who routinely speak to auctioneers.

MOTION

Mr. Moody moved to change the wording in the guidelines regarding exemptions for approval, to read "government employees". Mr. Blocker seconded the motion, which carried.

MOTION

Mr. Moody moved to approve the draft of the proposed Guidelines for Continuing Education, with the noted changes. Mr. Blocker seconded, and the motion carried.

Midlands Technical College - Continuing Education via Satellite

Patsy Towery appeared before the Commission, along with Barbara Mooneyham to answer questions the members may have regarding CE classes offered by the college via satellite.

She noted that proctors are on site to ensure that students receive credit for only hours they actually attend class. Ms. Towery stated that it is the goal of the college to provide classes that are interesting and beneficial to attendees. She said a certificate would not be issued by the school for continuing education to students who do not remain in the class for its duration.

Mr. Mullis asked what the average size of a class is. Ms. Towery responded that between four and ten students are in a normal class. She noted that there had been about thirty-five students in one class that had been well promoted.

Mr. Moody asked what is taught. Ms. Towery answered that in the past, they had been mostly approved for auctioneering law updates, which sometimes were presented in conjunction with the auction school. Mr. Mullis asked how long the school had been established. Ms. Towery responded that the school was approved in 1996.

MOTION

Mr. Mullis moved that Midlands Tech be approved to offer continuing education classes for auctioneers via the satellite program. Mr. Blocker seconded the motion, which carried.

Approval of Continuing Education Classes for Raymond Lee

Mr. Lee presented to the members a list of eight continuing education classes to be held at various technical colleges in the state. Ms. Frierson asked if he would be working for the colleges. Mr. Lee stated that the classes would be advertised by the schools and he would serve as the instructor, with the fees which are paid by the attendees to be divided

between himself and the schools.

MOTION

Mr. Moody made a motion to approve the classes proposed by Mr. Lee. Ms. Frierson asked Mr. Lee if outlines had been provided to the members and he responded that they had. Mr. Mullis seconded the motion, which carried with one member voting against.

Mr. Lee noted that the regulation states that continuing education requirements for licensees state that the hours must be received in a pre-approved class.

Public Comments

Mr. Benson reminded Ms. Frierson of a suggestion that licensees be allowed to receive CE credit for attending Commission meetings. Ms. Frierson referred the question to Ms. Dantzler, who checked the regulations and statutes to see if such an accommodation could be made. Ms. Dantzler noted that the Commission would have to make a determination that attending a meeting was the equivalent of classroom instruction. She observed that it would probably be necessary to do it on a meeting-by-meeting basis, depending on what occurred in the meeting. After some discussion among the members, it was decided not to adopt such a policy.

Mr. Bryant provided a document regarding the Recovery Fund. Mr. Bryant also noted that the members had not yet voted on the IRC report heard in Executive Session. Ms. Frierson then advised all present that public comments would resume after the members voted on the IRC report.

IRC Recommendations

Case 200415

MOTION

Mr. Moody moved that the members approve the recommendation of the IRC to dismiss the case on the grounds that it does not fall under the jurisdiction of the Commission. Mr. Blocker seconded the motion, which carried.

Case 200414 and Case 200413

MOTION

Mr. Blocker mad a motion to approve the IRC recommendation to issue a private reprimand in these cases and to require the respondents to pay the cost of investigation associated with the cases. Mr. Moody seconded the motion, which carried.

Public Comments

Mr. Salter expressed concern and disappointment at the tone of the meeting. He said he felt many present were very uncomfortable with much that was said in the meeting. He said he thought an apology was owed to Mr. Bryant and LLR for and remarks made to discredit the staff and agency. He encouraged the members and staff to work together for the good of the auctioneering profession.

Ms. McCoy noted that she was speaking as a member of the public, although she had served in the past as Executive Director of the Auctioneers Commission prior to restructuring and as an appointed member of the Auctioneers Commission until recent months. Ms. McCoy took exception to the remarks made by Mr. Salter, both those he made as public comments and remarks he made to her and others during the course of the meeting.

Mr. Mullis asked for a brief recess at this time, prior to the election of officers.

Election of Officers

Chairman

Mr. Moody nominated Mr. Blocker as chairman. Mr. Mullis seconded the motion, which carried.

Vice-Chairman

Mr. Mullis nominated Mr. Moody as vice-chairman. The motion was seconded by Mr. Blocker and carried.

IRC Officer

Ivan Broadwell

MOTION

Mr. Blocker moved to ratify Mr. Broadwell to continue serving as IRC officer. Mr. Moody seconded the motion, which carried.

Rodney Lee

MOTION

Mr. Mullis moved to ratify Mr. Rodney Lee to continue serving as IRC officer. Mr. Moody seconded the motion and it carried.

Adjournment

There being no further business to be brought before the Commission at this time, Mr. Moody moved the meeting be adjourned. Mr. Mullis seconded the motion, which carried. The meeting was adjourned.