

From the Investigator's Desk

The purpose of this article is to inform readers (mostly registered architects) of some of the most common problem areas we observe on a day-to-day basis. Without a doubt, the issue of firm registration (or, conversely, unregistered practice by firms) is number one. We realize some jurisdictions do not require firm registration at all, while some require registration of only certain types of business entities, such as business corporations. The nuances of each state's licensing requirements can be confusing. In South Carolina, however, the matter of whether your firm needs to be registered with the Board is quite simple: If you intend to practice in this state in any manner other than as an individual, i.e., John Doe, Architect, firm registration is required.

For example, John Doe, who happens to live in Alabama, is individually registered with this Board to practice architecture in South Carolina. John is also a principal of his firm. Roe and Doe Associates Architects. John has entered into an agreement/contract with a client to provide professional services for a South Carolina project. The agreement/contract, written on Roe and Doe Associates Architects letterhead, states that Roe and Doe Associates Architects is the architect for the project, outlines the scope of services to be provided by Roe and Doe Associates Architects, and lists the fee schedule and other relevant information. John Doe signs the agreement/contract representing the firm, Roe and Doe Associates Architects. While it may be true in this scenario that John Doe personally and individually will be the South Carolina registered architect in responsible charge of the work for this project, his FIRM, Roe and Doe Associates Architects, actually commissioned the work. This is a firm project, not an individual project, and all invoices, communications, memorandums,

etc., with the client, vendors, consultants, contractors, etc., will be on firm letterhead, and the firm's title block will ultimately appear on design documents. In this example, Roe and Doe Associates Architects clearly must be registered with the Board, and the South Carolina Architectural Registration Law mandates that registration must occur "**before** undertaking architectural work" [Section 40-3-30(C), emphasis added].

Firm registration in South Carolina is not a particularly burdensome, onerous or expensive undertaking. Once your firm is registered with the Board and you have received the firm's Certificate of Authorization issued by the Board, you must obtain a separate firm seal to be affixed to design documents offered for construction and to public authority in this state. The seal of the individual architect alone or the firm seal alone is insufficient; both seals must be affixed (See Regulation 11-11). If you are unsure about your situation, please contact the Board.

Another area where we discover many problems is in answers given to certain questions on our initial and renewal applications for licensure. Pay particular attention to questions such as, "Have you been found by a court or registration board to have violated the architectural registration laws or the professional/occupational laws of any jurisdiction?" Your option is a "Yes" or "No" answer. If you have been found to have violated such laws, or if you have entered into any negotiated settlement or agreement regarding such laws, you MUST answer "Yes" to the question, and attach a relevant explanation for your answer. If you allow your secretary, office administrator, or any other party to complete these or other portions of any application, you should carefully review the questions and the answers given before signing the application

Changes in the Law

This is a reminder that regulations affecting certain areas of practice changed in June 1999 (see "Changes in Board Regulations," October 1999 newsletter). Please review these changes to determine if they affect the way you conduct your practice in South Carolina. Additions to the regulations include statements regarding "conditions of compensation" that address cost of services, a definition of "minimum construction administration services," and what to do when you are *not* engaged to perform construction administration services.

Booklets containing the most current statutes and regulations were mailed to all registrants in Fall 1999 (front cover is dated August 1999); the laws and the October 1999 newsletter are posted on the Board's website. If you would like a law booklet mailed to you, please contact the office.

and submitting it to the Board. The Board holds only <u>you</u> responsible for the answers given on these applications, not your secretary, office manager, spouse or any other party. It is always better to be up-front and honest than to get caught in an inconsistency later and possibly be disciplined for lack of attention to such details.

This information is conveyed in an effort to help South Carolina registrants comply with all provisions of the South Carolina Architectural Registration Law. As always, should you have any questions regarding these or any other matters, please contact us.

BOARD MEMBERS

Stephen A. Usry, Chairman

Marshall F. Clarke, FAIA, Vice Chairman

J. Eugene Matthews, Secretary

Jane Y. Frederick, Member

James F. Barker, FAIA, Member

Jacquelyn D. Austin, Public Member

OFFICE STAFF

Jan B. Simpson, Administrator simpsonj@mail.llr.state.sc.us

Robert S. Shelley, Investigator shelleyr@mail.llr.state.sc.us

Alice M. DeBorde, Administrative Assistant debordea@mail.llr.state.sc.us

BOARD OFFICE HOURS

8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. Monday - Friday

Voice mail is activated after 5 p.m.

Telephone (803) 896-4408 Fax (803) 896-4410

The Board office will be closed for the following state holidays:

May 29, 2000, Memorial Day July 4, 2000, Independence Day September 4, 2000, Labor Day November 7, 2000, Election Day November 10, 2000, Veterans Day November 23 and 24, 2000, Thanksgiving December 25, 2000, Christmas

MEETING DATES

May 10, 2000 July 28-29, 2000 (Board Retreat) September 20, 2000 November 9, 2000

Disciplinary Actions

At an Administrative Hearing conducted on January 20, 2000, the Board found Registered Architect **William H. Goodman**, Bald Head Island, N.C., guilty of wrongfully answering questions on his 1998-99 individual architect renewal application. On the application, Goodman reported that he had not been disciplined by any other jurisdiction within the previous year, when, in fact, he had been disciplined by the North Carolina Board of Architecture. Goodman received a Public Reprimand as a result of this Board's findings.

Herman C. Grube, Registered Architect, Mt. Pleasant, entered into a Consent Order with the Board in connection with services he provided for three residential projects to be constructed on Hilton Head Island. In the Order, Grube admitted that his work on the projects was limited to reviewing and sealing design documents already prepared by an unlicensed draftsman, Charles Seay, who was not employed by Grube. Grube was unable to produce documentation to indicate that he demonstrated the appropriate degree of direct professional knowledge, supervision and control required for the professional work for the three projects. Sanctions imposed by the Board were a Public Reprimand, \$1,000 fine, and agreement to immediately and forever cease and desist from further unlawful activity on any South Carolina project.

Constantine N. Vrettos, Registered Architect, Charlotte, N.C., entered into a Consent Order with the Board in connection with professional services he provided for a restaurant project in Gaffney, S.C. Vrettos admitted that, by providing the site, foundation-structural, mechanical, electrical and plumbing design for the project, he practiced outside the scope of the "practice of architecture" as defined by South Carolina statute. The Board imposed sanctions of a Public Reprimand, \$1,000 fine, and agreement to immediately and forever cease and desist from the practice of professional engineering in South Carolina, until such time as he obtains a license to practice professional engineering from the South Carolina Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors.

John R. Hause, Registered Architect, Clearwater, FL, entered into a Consent

Order with the Board in connection with professional services he provided for a retail project in Summerville. Hause admitted that, by providing the mechanical and plumbing design for the project, he practiced outside the scope of the "practice of architecture" as defined by South Carolina statute. The Board imposed sanctions of a Public Reprimand, \$500 fine, and agreement to immediately and forever cease and desist from the practice of professional engineering in South Carolina, until such time as he obtains a license to practice professional engineering from the South Carolina Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors.

John Urban, Registered Architect, Wilmington, N.C., entered into a Consent Order with the Board in connection with answers he provided to questions on his 1999-2000 individual architect renewal application. Urban admitted that he failed to correctly answer questions pertaining to disciplinary action taken by other jurisdictions in that he did not report having been disciplined by the North Carolina Board of Architecture. Sanctions imposed were a Public Reprimand and \$500 fine.

Michael L. Musil, Registered Architect, Irvine, CA, entered into a Consent Order with the Board in connection with answers he provided to questions on his 1999-2000 individual architect renewal application. Musil admitted that he failed to correctly answer questions pertaining to disciplinary action taken by other jurisdictions in that he did not report having been disciplined by the North Carolina Board of Architecture. Sanctions imposed were a Public Reprimand and \$500 fine.

Michael J. Fitzpatrick, Registered Architect, Columbus, OH, entered into a Consent Order with the Board based upon disciplinary action taken against him by the Ohio State Board of Examiners of Architects. The Board imposed sanctions of a Public Reprimand and a requirement to notify the Board, in writing, of any contractual agreements he currently has to provide services for any South Carolina project and any he may undertake for the next 12 months.

Continued on page 3

Board Holds Workshop for Building Officials

On March 7, 2000, the Board held an all-day workshop for building officials at the Embassy Suites Hotel in Columbia. Thankfully, inclement weather (i.e., SNOW) was not an issue this year, and 65 attendees from across the state encountered no particular difficulties in arriving on time.

The workshop included topics such as proper/improper seals of architects and engineers; when sealed documents are required; exceptions to the requirements to have architects or engineers involved in design; legal implications for building officials and code enforcement officers; implementation of the International Building Code; updates in State Fire Marshal Regulations; and contractors' licensing requirements. Speakers included Rita McKinney, Director of the South Carolina Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation; Robert S. Shelley, Investigator for the Board of Architecture; Charles Ido, Investigator for the Board of Engineers and Land Surveyors; Ralph Foster, Chief Deputy State Fire Marshal; Gary Wiggins, Administrator of the South Carolina Building Codes Council; Rick Wilson, Deputy General Counsel for the Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation; Joyce Thurber, Administrative

Coordinator for the Contractors' Licensing Board; and Chas Nicholson, Investigator for the Contractors' Licensing Board.

Question and answer sessions followed presentations, and the afternoon portion of the workshop consisted of a panel discussion with lots of audience participation. Panelists were S.C. registered architect Paul Cook, S.C. registered Professional Engineer Martin Ford, Georgia registered Professional Engineer Joe Powell, and Project Construction Manager Tom Baer. The exchange of information among these design and building professionals and the code officials, building officials and plan reviewers was challenging, informative and positive. It was our hope that each side would gain valuable insight into the other's perspective on design, inspection and permitting issues. Judging from the enthusiastic participation of all, that hope was realized, channels of communication were widened, and an air of mutual respect was fostered. We hope to continue sponsoring this type of workshop as long as it remains beneficial to the participants. The feedback from those who attended indicated the trip to Columbia was worthwhile.

Board Funding IDP Fees

The South Carolina Board of Architecture believes architecture students need structured, diverse work experience as early as possible in their preparation to become architects.

In support of that belief, South Carolina law requires interns to complete the Intern Development Program (IDP) before applying to take the Architecture Registration Examination. However, recognizing that the IDP fee of \$265 could be an impediment to architecture students, the Board voted in late 1999 to fund the enrollment fee (\$50) for every fourth-year student enrolled in Clemson University's School of Architecture during the 1999-2000 academic year, as well as the balance of IDP fees for all graduate students, a total of \$12,500.

This unique effort will have a significant impact on architecture students, regardless of the state in which they eventually practice. Eliminating the potential financial impediment means students will be enrolled in IDP at the beginning of their eligibility (under NCARB guidelines) to accrue training units. Early enrollment and periodic reporting ensures credit for architectural experience that might otherwise be lost if interns try to reconstruct perhaps years later—the hours and type of work he or she completed.

The Board believes that attainment of the highest level of credentials—in education, internship and examination—is essential to the profession. Architecture is an increasingly complex and technical profession where protection of the public by the architect's knowledge and experience is of utmost importance. By making it easier for students to enroll in IDP and begin that important internship experience, the Board is, in a meaningful way, subscribing to the future of architecture.

Disciplinary Actions -

Continued from page 2

Roger T. Godwin, Registered Architect, Atlanta, GA, entered into a Consent Order with the Board in connection with his firm's involvement in a commercial project in Columbia, S.C. At the time the architectural services were rendered, Godwin's firm, **Godwin Associates, Inc.**, was not registered to practice in South Carolina. The Board imposed sanctions of a Public Reprimand and \$500 fine. The firm is now registered to practice in South Carolina.

Michael H. Foss, Registered Architect, Kansas City, MO, entered into a Consent Order with the Board in connection with his firm's involvement in a telecommunications project in Fairfax, S.C. At the time professional services were offered and rendered, Foss' firm, FS & L Architects, PC, was not registered to practice in South Carolina. The Board imposed sanctions of a Public Reprimand and \$500 fine. The firm is now registered to practice in South Carolina.

Steven Goggans, Registered Architect, Pawleys Island, and his firm, Steven Goggans and Associates, were issued a Cease and Desist Order for conveying the impression that an unlicensed employee, John Mackorell, is a licensed architect.

John Mackorell, unlicensed individual, Pawleys Island, was issued a Cease and Desist Order for conveying the impression that he is a licensed architect.

John Kerrigan Sproule, a Canada licensed architect not registered to practice in South Carolina, was issued a Cease and Desist Order in connection with professional services he provided for a kitchen renovation project on Seabrook Island.

D. J. Simons Construction Co., Inc., Columbia, an unlicensed contractor, was issued a Cease and Desist Order for providing architectural services on a church project in Bowman.

Nine Letters of Caution were issued to South Carolina registrants for various matters including violations that occurred in other jurisdictions, improper sealing of design documents, unregistered firm issues, misrepresentation and disclosure issues, and improper oversight and control of sealed design documents.

Inactive Firms

Certificates of Authoriza- tion to practice architecture in South Carolina expired on December 31, 1999, for the following:		C96005	Bell & Spina PC Archts-PInrs, Camillus, NY	99034	Food Plant Engineering Inc, Yakima, WA	97026	Kirkwood Rodell Associates Ps, Spokane, WA
		B85021	Cannon Design Inc, Grand Island, NY	98133	Freeman & Morgan Architects PC, Richmond, VA	98053	Kronawitter Associ- ates Inc, Boca Raton,
IN-STAT	E	B95021	Castles Design Group	97051	FreemanWhite Inc		FL
D87010	ARCH I, Clemson	98034	Inc, Houston, TX Chelsea Place Design		(d/b/a/ Grier-Fripp), Charlotte, NC	99040	Lemberg/Windsor Architects & Associ- ates, Conyers, GA
97029	Architectural Re- search & Design Inc,	96034	Inc, Houston, TX	99067	Fryday & Doyne Inc, Charlotte, NC	97018	Lund Associates Ltd,
	Columbia	99055	CMSS Architects PC Virginia Beach, VA	B96035	Fusch-Serold &		Rapid City, SD
B94021	Architectural Tradi- tions Inc, Landrum	A88004	Cogdell & Mendrala Architects, Savannah,		Partners Inc, Dallas, TX	97041	Michael A Martin PA, Charlotte, NC
97033	B A Bush & Associ- ates, Spartanburg		GA	98120	Gardens Communities Inc, Tucker, GA	C96012	Mathews & Glazer Architects Pa,
B83003	Eason Earl & Associates Inc,	98017	Corporate Design Studio Inc, Atlanta, GA	98072	Gastinger & Walker Architects Inc,	B89010	Asheville, NC CR Meyer and Sons
474040	Greenville	B94006	Crossmann Community of North Carolina Inc,	<u> </u>	Kansas City, MO		Company, Oshkosh, WI
A74012	Fant & Fant Archi- tects, Anderson	B87036	Calabash, NC CRSS Architects Inc,	C83002	Godwin Associates PA, Charlotte, NC	98070	MJA Communications Corp, Palm Beach
D90007	Gallagher & Associ- ates, Charleston		St Louis, MO	B88011	Greenberg Farrow Architecture Incorpo-		Gardens, FL
C90004	Interpretive Design/ Architecture PC,	98128	Robert G Currie and Associates Inc, Delray Beach, FL	C89005	rated, Atlanta, GA Harvard Jolly Clees	99038	Morgan Pfahl Collaborative, Charlotte, NC
	Anderson	98029	The Daiker Company		Toppe Architects PA, St. Petersburg, FL	97091	Floyd C Nave and
97060	Magnolia Classic Architects LLC, Hilton	30023	Inc, Dallas, TX	C93009	HCRC Services of	37031	Associates, Colleyville, TX
B90003	Head McCoy/White	B74076	Daniel International Aliso Viejo, CA		South Carolina Inc, Toledo, OH	99122	Pate Design Group
B90003	Architects Inc, Charleston	99002	Degen Architecture and Interior Design,	98085	HD Sikes Group Inc, Houston, TX	C88002	
			Seattle, WA	99003	G Herschman		PA, Winston Salem, NC
OUT-OF 97093	-STATE Alberto Chiesa	97038	Dever Architects, Glen Mills, PA		Architects Inc, Beachwood, OH	98111	Pickering Firm Inc, Memphis, TN
37033	Architects Inc, Birmingham, AL	99132	DPF Architects PC, Mobile, AL	A94002	Hutchins Associates, Statesville, NC	B96029	Pierce Design Inc
B88006	Architectural Design Group of SC Inc,	B77006	Ellerbe Becket Inc, Minneapolis, MN	99099	ICS Integrated Con- struction Services,	98004	Pittsburgh, PA Richard Rauh &
	Durham, NC	98027	Ronald A Erpenbeck		Scott Depot, WV		Associated Architects, Atlanta, GA
A74070	Barnard & King Architects, Savannah, GA		dba United Concepts, St Petersburg, FL	99035	Johnson Architecture Inc, Knoxville, TN	98063	Reed and Associates Architects, Nashville,
97068	Bazemore Mastrianni Wilson & Associates, Savannah, GA	99037	Fisher Friedman Associates Inc, San Francisco, CA	98095	Kaplan/Mclaughlin/ Diaz, San Francisco, CA		TN

Continued on page 5

IBC Definitions Change

As a result of decisions made at the International Building Code Congress in Fall 1999, the definition of "registered design professional" was expanded to include interior designers in states where interior designers have a title act or a practice act. This change by the IBCC opens the door for interpretations by building officials in areas of design and construction and could aid interior designers' efforts to gain title acts or practice acts in states where none now exist.

The South Carolina Board's position has not changed since the early 1990s when the interior design registration effort first appeared in South Carolina: the threshold requirement for licensing a profession is whether or not unregulated practice endangers the public. The Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation's law, Section 40-1-10(D), lists 10 criteria for determining the appropriate degree of regulation; NCARB's 1988 "white paper" lists five basic conditions to be met before a state could legitimately grant occupational licensing. The common threads are risk to the public if the profession is unregulated; impact on the public's health, safety and welfare if the profession is practiced improperly; mastery of a body of knowledge, skills and abilities which is not readily achieved by laypersons; and adequate protection to the public from incompetent, unethical and unscrupulous practitioners. Is it in the public's best interest to regulate interior designers? The Board believes it is not.

Interior designers offer a useful service to the public, but unregulated practice of this profession does not present a threat to the public's health, safety and welfare. We would appreciate feedback from you as we continue to monitor this issue.

Inactive Firms - Continued from page 4

B81006	Robert and Company, Atlanta, GA	97022	Summerour & Associates Architects Inc, Atlanta, GA	
99103	The Roberts Group PSC, Lexington, KY	98005	The TAF Group, Virginia Beach, VA	
98132	Rose Architects PC,			
	Richmond, VA	B74034	Thompson Ventulett Stainback & Associ-	
99019	99019 SA Architecture PC, Fairfax, VA		ates, Atlanta, GA	
99133	Servicemaster Professional Services LP, Irving, TX	99043	Westower Design Inc, Palm Beach Gardens, FL	
98091	Stone & Webster Engineering Corpora- tion, Denver, CO	B74066	WKWW Inc, Charlotte, NC	

Reminders

Individual Renewal Reminder

Individual Certificates of Registration expire on JUNE 30, 2000. Renewal notices will be mailed on or about May 18, 2000. If you do not receive a renewal notice by June 1, please contact us. We no longer send reminder notices of renewals.

To avoid a late fee and to keep your name out of the Enforcement Report of this newsletter, please read carefully and answer truthfully the questions asked on the renewal form, sign it, and return it to us by July 1. You are the only person responsible for your answers to these questions. If false or misleading information is provided, the Board will hold you responsible—not your secretary, your assistant or your office manager.

Rosters Now Available on Diskette

The roster of licensed architects and architectural firms is now available on diskette in comma delimited, tab delimited or database file formats. Please specify format and listing when ordering.

If you would like to obtain this information, contact the Board office or e-mail Alice DeBorde at *debordea@mail.llr.state.sc.us*.

Applications Available on the Web

You can now download Board applications and instructions on the web. These forms can be accessed at *www.llr.state.sc.us/arch/ forms.htm*. If you have any questions regarding these forms, please contact Alice DeBorde, Licensing Coordinator.

Moving? Be Sure to Notify the Board

A change of address form can be downloaded from the web at *www.llr.state.sc.us/arch/forms.htm.* These changes can be mailed, faxed or e-mailed to the Board office. Be sure to type or neatly print changes so that they may be entered into the database correctly. The Board cannot accept address changes over the telephone. Please forward address changes to the attention of Alice DeBorde, licensing coordinator, or e-mail changes to *debordea@mail.llr.state.sc.us.*

Firms to be Listed on Website!

Architectural firms licensed in South Carolina will soon be listed on our Licensee Lookup website along with licensed architects. Before we go "live" with that list, however, we need your help. We are currently testing the site and ask that you use it to look up firms and give us feedback. Is it easy to use? Is it easy to find the firm you are looking for? What changes would you make? What would you add or delete? We want it to be as user-friendly as possible.

The temporary website address is *http://167.7.126.232/ ArchLookup.asp.* Please note that only currently licensed firms are listed. After we put the permanent site on the web, we hope to update the list weekly. If you believe a firm was recently licensed but is not yet listed on the website, please e-mail us at *debordea@mail.llr.state.sc.us* or call us at (803) 896-4408.

Interns' Corner

Interns, you are important because you represent the future of architecture. There is no doubt it is difficult to keep up with work, family, community involvement, and still have time for IDP reporting and other record-keeping chores. Although at times it may seem boring and mundane, recordkeeping is essential to your eventual licensure and certification as a professional. Please be attentive to this element of your professional life and enroll in IDP as early as possible. There are good reasons for early enrollment.

- You run the risk of losing credit due to lack of verification if you don't record your work experience as you earn it.
- NCARB charges extra to send an IDP file to a state if you have been enrolled less than one year. Why pay extra?

In South Carolina, regulations require that candidates be enrolled in IDP one year prior to applying for licensure.

Every state is different! Check with the state board where your initial application will be sent to ask their requirements. You may save yourself time, money and effort by being informed and by accurately keeping records from your first eligible work experience.

To help with record-keeping, a new tool is now available at the NCARB website. The IDP Training Unit Workbook, in Excel format, helps you keep track of training units in an easy-to-use format. Instructions for installation are at www.ncarb.org/idp/ idpworkbook.html.

Continuing Education -Is It In Your Future?

As previously reported in these newsletters, the Board is moving toward requiring continuing education for license renewal. To that end, the Board submitted proposed language to the Legislature that would give the Board authority to require CE. If approved, regulations outlining the requirements will be drafted during the summer and submitted to the Legislature in 2001. We welcome your feedback on this issue—by letter, phone call or e-mail.

Group to Look at Intern Issues

One of the significant achievements of the Summit on Architectural Internship held in Kentucky in April 1999 was the formation of the Intern Collateral Task Force. Comprised of nationally recognized representatives of the five collateral organizations of the architectural profession (NCARB, AIA, ACSA, AIAS, AND NAAB), the Task Force is working to address intern issues in education and practice. Their mission is to "define a comprehensive professional development model for emerging architects."

Several objectives are being developed for presentation to the collateral organizations later this year, including revisions to the ARE to allow examining of certain subject matters prior to completion of IDP; use of the title "architect" in some form for interns who hold an accredited degree and who are enrolled in IDP; improved integration of practice in the education environment; increased commitment to lifelong learning in the profession; and improved support and mentoring from practitioners to emerging architects. The South Carolina Board supports the work of the Intern Collateral Task Force. We authored a comprehensive resolution that was approved by the NCARB Southern Conference (10 southeastern states, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands) at the regional meeting in Arkansas this past March. This resolution encourages the Task Force to make recommendations that will improve the internship process.

We believe the time has come to address intern issues in a positive, significant, comprehensive manner. We are searching for ways to build bridges rather than roadblocks, and listening with renewed interest to interns who seek to become responsible, contributing members of our profession. They need the support of the entire profession including regulators, practitioners and educators—as they continue their development toward a career in this honorable profession.

We're On the Internet



Check out the Board of Architectural Examiners on LLR's "home page" on the world wide web, or Internet.

The page includes general information about the agency and key data on individual programs.

If you have ideas or suggestions, contact Lesia Shannon Kudelka, (803) 896-4376 or Donna Delia, (803) 896-4377.

www.llr.state.sc.us

NCARB Corner

NCARB has published an excellent booklet, <u>Mentor Guidelines</u>, to assist those of you serving as mentors for interns. You may request copies of the booklet or download it from the NCARB website at www.ncarb.org/Forms/index.html.

If you do not currently hold an NCARB certificate, please note the following: Beginning July 1, 2000, architects will be required to hold a professional degree from a program accredited by the National Architectural Accrediting Board (NAAB) or the Canadian Architectural Certification Board (CACB), or have a CACB-certified professional degree from a Canadian university in order to meet NCARB's education requirement for certification. (The two alternate routes expire June 30, 2000.) While the Broadly Experienced Architect (BEA) alternative will continue to be available, BEA is a far more complicated and expensive route to certification. For further information about education requirements for architects registered in the United States, request NCARB's publication, <u>Education Standard</u>, or call (202) 783-6500.

NCARB's exam department reports that ARE deliveries in February 2000 were up 15 percent over February 1999. Year-to-date exam deliveries are up 13 percent over the same period last year.

Reprinted with permission from NCARB.

Notice to S.C. Examination Candidates

Many of you are aware of the "rolling window" which affects credit retention of the A.R.E. divisions you have passed. You have four years from the date the rolling window provision was implemented to pass all divisions of the examination. Credit for each division passed is retained for four years after which time you must take the section(s) again.

You have unlimited opportunities to retake the examination. Even if you do not sit for an exam, the "clock" is still ticking. If you have any questions about your examination status, please submit a <u>written</u> request for clarification as to how you will be affected.

NCARB – Architect Registration Examination

Score Results Summary

October 1 - December 31, 1999

Number (In SC)	SC % Pass	Region % Pass	All Boards % Pass
6	100	68	69
7	71	68	71
6	100	66	71
6	83	72	74
6	50	71	75
9	78	85	89
10	90	81	83
1	100	90	89
3	100	86	83
54			
	(In SC) 6 7 6 6 6 9 10 1 1 3	(In SC) % Pass 6 100 7 71 6 100 6 83 6 50 9 78 10 90 1 100 3 100	(In SC)% Pass% Pass61006877168610066683726507197885109081110090310086

Newly Licensed Architects



Congratulations to the following individuals who recently passed the Architect Registration Examination:

- Lisa A. Gomperts, Charleston
- Kevin W. Morris, Anderson
- John T. Pharis, Jr., North Charleston
- Robin W. Roberts, Myrtle Beach
- Margaret L. Davis, Charleston
- Corey Hilton, Greer
- Harrell C. Gandy, Greenville
- Brian Thomas, Greenville
- Jeffrey Tilghman, West Columbia



S.C. Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation Board of Architectural Examiners Post Office Box 11419 Columbia, SC 29211-1419 (803) 896-4408 (803) 896-4410 Fax

In This Issue

From the Investigator's Desk 1
Changes in the Law 1
Disciplinary Actions
Board Meets with Building Officials
Board Helping IDP Fees
Inactive Firms
Interns' Corner
Continuing Education
NCARB Corner
NCARD COILICI
Architect Exam Results