

MINUTES

South Carolina Board of Cosmetology
Board Meeting

10:00 A.M., Monday, July 10, 2006

Synergy Business Park
Kingstree Building
110 Centerview Drive, Conference Room 108
Columbia, South Carolina

Rosanne Kinley, Chairman, of Anderson, called the regular meeting of the SC Board of Cosmetology to order at 10:02 a.m. Other board members present included: Melanie Thompson, Vice Chairman, of Myrtle Beach; Michelle Hampton-Furtick, of Columbia; Delores Gilmer, of Charleston; Kristy McMillan, of Summerville; Ruth Settles, of Greenville; and Katherine Webb, of Easley.

Staff members participating in the meeting included: George Barr, Inspector; Ronnie Blackmon, Inspector; Randy Bryant, Assistant Deputy Director; Sharon Dantzler, Deputy General Counsel; Sandra Dickert, Administrative Assistant; Eddie Jones, Administrator; Raymond Lee, Inspector; Mary Ann Miller, Inspector; Mark Sanders, Inspector; and Shirley Wider, Program Assistant.

Members of the public attending the meeting included: Pat Adams, Joie Antley, Linda Beach, Bill Bradberry, Darlyn Caldon, Mary Cox, Barbara Doss, Catherine Hagen, Mike Kelly, Ken Lake, Jay Lacy, Ken Lochridge, Chesley Phillips, Delores Rush, Christa Sanders, Linda Simpson, Catherine Stabler, Kathy Sheffield, Gloria Smith, Cynthia Sutton, and Lloyd Sutton.

Meeting Called to Order

Ms. Kinley announced that public notice of this meeting was properly posted at the S. C. Board of Cosmetology office, Synergy Business Park, Kingstree Building and provided to all requesting persons, organizations, and news media in compliance with Section 30-4-80 of the South Carolina Freedom of Information Act.

Pledge of Allegiance

All present recited the Pledge of Allegiance.

Rules of the Meeting Read by the Chairman

Ms. Kinley read the rules of the meeting.

Introduction of Board Members and All Other Persons Attending

The Board members, staff and members of the public introduced themselves.

Approval of the May 8, 2006 Meeting Minutes

MOTION

Ms. Gilmer moved the Board approve the minutes of the May 8, 2006 meeting. Ms. Thompson seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

Approval of Agenda

MOTION

Ms. Thompson made a motion, seconded by Ms. Webb, that the Board approve the agenda with any necessary deviations. Ms. Hampton-Furtick asked to be placed under Discussion Topics. The motion carried.

Chairman's Remarks – Rosanne Kinley

Ms. Kinley introduced the new board member, Kristy McMillan. She welcomed everyone to the meeting.

Ms. Kinley stated House Bill 3062 reached the Governor's desk and was signed into law on June 17, 2006. She noted since the Board did not submit the bill a lot of details are to be worked out, including attendance at trade shows.

Ms. Kinley conducted a final inspection of World Beauty College on May 16, 2006 with Mark Sanders and George Barr. She attended the NICS Executive Board meeting on June 9, 2006, which was held in conjunction with the NEC (National Examining Committee) meeting. She indicated the PCS examiner training seminar and school overview was held on June 11-12, 2006 in Columbia. On June 26, 2006 she monitored a nail technician continuing education course sponsored by Nails, Hair and Skin in Anderson. She said it was the first class to implement the hazardous weather policy. She reminded the master esthetics licensure committee members from the May committee meeting to submit recommendations to implement a second tier of education. She stated the booth renters and salons licenses were renewed through June 30, 2006. In closing she thanked the Board and the State for allowing her to serve as chairman and NIC President and asked for their support during the annual conference in her run for re-election.

Administrator's Remarks, For Information – Eddie Jones

Advisory Opinions, If Needed, Office of General Counsel

Legislative Update, If Needed, Legislative Liaison Office

There were no advisory opinions given during the July 10, 2006 meeting. Ms. Kinley gave a legislative update during her remarks.

Mr. Jones welcomed Ms. McMillan to the Board. He reminded the Board members of the national conference in September 2006 and the Board's responsibility of an advertisement.

Number of Inspections

Mr. Blackmon briefed the Board on the number of inspections during May 2006 and June 2006. He noted the total number of inspections for fiscal year 2005/06 was 6,274.

Number of Open Complaint Cases

Mr. Jones stated the Board currently has 32 open complaint cases.

Approval of Consent Orders

Mr. Bryant briefed the Board on cases regarding pedicures. He noted the Board has been working with the Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC) on cases regarding pedicures.

The Board reviewed consent orders on Columbia Beauty School, the Nail Palace, Nail Design and Unique Nail and Spa

Columbia Beauty School

MOTION

Ms. Thompson made a motion, seconded by Ms. Webb and unanimously carried, that the Board accept consent order on Columbia Beauty School.

Nail Palace, Nail Design and Unique Nail and Spa

MOTION

Ms. Webb moved to accept the consent orders regarding the Nail Palace, Nail Design and Unique Nail and Spa. The motion was seconded by Ms. Hampton-Furtick and carried unanimously.

Unfinished Business

There was no unfinished business for discussion.

New Business

Approval of DRC Reports – June 5, 2006 and June 26, 2006

The Board reviewed the DRC Reports from June 5, 2006 and June 26, 2006.

MOTION

Ms. Hampton-Furtick made a motion, seconded by Ms. Webb and unanimously carried, that the Board approve the DRC Reports of June 5, 2006 and June 26, 2006.

Presentation of Draft Implementation of Act 349 CEU Exemptions – Randy Bryant

Mr. Bryant presented the Board with a draft document regarding implementation of Act 349 CEU Exemptions and briefed the members on the draft.

Mr. Ken Lochridge asked the Board if someone attends a trade show it would be \$7.00 a person he would have to pay out of money he collects, which makes an unfair playing field.

MOTION

Ms. Settles moved the Board discuss this matter in executive session. Ms. Hampton-Furtick seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

Recess

The Board recessed at 11:00 a.m. and resumed public session at 11:25 a.m.

Return to Public Session

Approval of Reciprocity Applications

a. Annette Hardiman White

On May 9, 2006 the Board received a reciprocity cosmetology application from Annette Hardiman White. Ms. White is currently licensed and in good standing in Florida. Ms. White's application was accompanied by a letter stating that she is unable to verify her tenth grade education. Ms. White's letter indicates she holds the equivalent of a G.E.D. from West Virginia.

In a letter dated July 3, 2006 the Board's staff invited Ms. White to appear at this meeting to answer any questions from the Board, however, Ms. White did not appear.

MOTION

Following the Board's review of Ms. White's application and letter, Ms. Settles moved, in lieu of her education or experience, moved the Board grant her licensure by reciprocity. Ms. Gilmer seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

b. Julia Diane White

On June 5, 2006 the Board received a reciprocity cosmetology application from Julia Diane White. In a letter addressed to the Board Ms. White states that she cannot provide proof that she has a tenth grade education

The Board's staff, in a letter dated July 3, 2006 invited Ms. White to attend the July 10, 2006 meeting to answer questions from the Board; however, Ms. White did not appear.

MOTION

Ms. Gilmer made a motion, seconded by Ms. Hampton-Furtick and unanimously carried, that the Board approve Ms. White for reciprocal licensure.

c. *Kathy J. Sheffield*

On February 24, 2006 the Board received a reciprocity application from Kathy Sheffield. Ms. Sheffield currently holds a master cosmetology license in Georgia and has been practicing since 1988. In a letter received by the Board on May 12, 2006 Ms. Sheffield states she is unable to show proof of her tenth grade education and asks the Board to grant her licensure.

Kathy Sheffield appeared before the meeting at this time.

MOTION

Ms. Webb moved the Board approve Ms. Sheffield for licensure by reciprocity. Ms. Gilmer seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

MOTION

Ms. Thompson made a motion, seconded by Ms. Webb and unanimously carried, that the Board reconsider the motion on Julia White.

Ms. Thompson noted Ms. White's certification from North Carolina indicates her cosmetology license expired in 2004. She stated the Board requires current licensure to grant reciprocity.

MOTION

Ms. Thompson moved the Board rescind the original vote of allowing reciprocity and give her the opportunity to produce a current license for reconsideration during the next meeting. Ms. Webb seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

Approval of Waiver of Cosmetology Classes and Practical Exam

a. *Natalie A. Cuttino*

In a letter dated March 29, 2006 Natalie Cuttino states she was initially licensed in 2002 after which she attended South Carolina State University for one semester. In November 2004 she decided to pursue a career in cosmetology. She contacted the Board and obtained information on renewing her license. She attended the three continuing education courses prior to January 2006. She was unaware the Board no longer mailed newsletters. She spoke with staff on March 13, 2006 and was informed the deadline to renew licensure was March 10, 2006. She is asking the Board to allow her to renew her license without having to take the practical exam.

In a letter dated July 3, 2006 the Board staff invited Ms. Cuttino to appear at this meeting to answer questions from the Board. Ms. Cuttino did not appear at this meeting.

MOTION

Ms. Webb made a motion, seconded by Ms. Gilmer and unanimously carried, that the Board deny the waiver of the practical exam.

Approval of Final Inspection for Nail Technician Course

a. *Career & Technology Center of Anderson School Districts 1 & 2*

On May 23, 2006 the Board received a letter from Jere Kirkley, Director of the Career & Technology Center of Anderson School Districts 1 & 2 stating the center plans to add nail

technology and esthetics to their existing cosmetology program for the 2006/07 school year. Mr. Kirkley's letter included a layout plan indicating equipment placement, a copy of the nail technology curriculum, a copy of the esthetics curriculum, and a copy of the instructor's credentials.

MOTION

Ms. Thompson moved the Board approve the final inspection process. The motion was seconded by Ms. Gilmer and unanimously carried.

Approval of Esthetics Licensure

a. *Cynthia Sutton*

On June 26, 2006 the Board received a letter from Cynthia Sutton stating she has been an actively licensed cosmetologist for twenty-six years and realizes her cosmetology license allows her to perform esthetics. She has been a salon/spa owner in Charleston since 1982 and added skin care services to her salon's services in 1990. She has recently encountered two suppliers who would not accept her credentials and specifically require an esthetics license. She is seeking the Board's approval to grant her an esthetics license.

Cynthia Sutton appeared before the Board at this time and briefed the Board on her professional esthetics background.

Ms. Kinley stated the Board could allow crossover hours toward Ms. Sutton's education in esthetics, however, the Board could not grant her an esthetics license. She went on to say Ms. Sutton could submit written documentation regarding hours of experience and company training and appear before the Board at the next meeting for consideration of hours.

Approval of Emergency Instructor - Miller-Motte Tech

a. *Ashley Cam Darby, Registered Esthetician #59958*

On June 28, 2006 the Board received a letter from Joie E. Antley, Esthetics Program Director at Miller-Motte Technical College, seeking approval for Ashley Cam Darby as an emergency instructor for three classes. Ms. Antley's letter indicates the school recently lost an esthetics instructor.

Joie Antley, program director at Miller-Motte Tech, appeared before the Board at this time. She stated Miller-Motte Tech has a relatively new esthetics course. She further stated the college lost a program director approximately six weeks ago. She noted that at one point Ms. Darby was one her teacher in training at a school where she was previously employed. She said Ms. Darby continued with her instructor training in Ms. Antley's program after she (Ms. Antley) left, however, Ms. Darby transferred to another school to continue her training. She went on to say Miller-Motte Tech had applied for Ms. Darby to become an emergency instructor since the school had lost the licensed instructor who had been the department head. She indicated Miller-Motte Tech conducts two classes during the day and one class at night and she could not physically cover all of the classes. She indicated there are currently twenty-two (22) students and the next class begin July 12, 2006 and there would an additional 22 or 23 students. She believes Ms. Darby has approximately 450 hours in her instructor training.

MOTION

Ms. Thompson moved the Board table this matter to executive session. Ms. Gilmer seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

Approval of Continuing Education Instructors – Jerry Poer, SCACS

On June 30, 2006 the Board received a letter from Jerry Poer, SCACS Educational Chairman, seeking the Board's approval for the four individuals to teach at a continuing education seminar. Mr. Poer's letter included resumes on the four individuals.

a. *Bianca Zapata*
MOTION

Ms. Settles moved the Board approve Ms. Zapata as a continuing education instructor. Ms. Gilmer seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

b. *Michelle D'Allaird*
MOTION

Ms. Thompson made a motion, seconded by Ms. Webb and unanimously carried, that the Board approve Ms. D'Allaird as a continuing education instructor.

c. *Denise Jamison*
MOTION

Ms. Settles moved the Board approve Ms. Jamison as a continuing education instructor. Ms. Webb seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

d. *Tim Hamilton*
MOTION

Ms. Webb moved the Board deny Mr. Hamilton as a continuing education instructor. The motion was seconded by Ms. Gilmer and unanimously carried.

Approval of Instructors – SC Massage & Esthetics Institute

- a. *Heather Langston*
- b. *Kim Slaubaugh*

Ms. Linda Beach, of SC Massage & Esthetics Institute, appeared before the Board at this time. She stated one of the esthetics instructors employed with her school left her employ, which left her with one emergency instructor. She went on to say that employee had a volatile domestic situation that flowed over to her work space. She indicated this situation placed the students and employees in harm's way so she had to let this individual go. She noted Ms. Langston and Ms. Slaubaugh have finished their instructor training program and methods of training course and are scheduled to take the instructor exam this month. She indicated Ms. Langston would teach 20 hours a week and Ms. Slaubaugh would teach 20 hours a week for one week. She noted there are no Saturday classes at this campus.

MOTION

Ms. Settles made a motion, seconded by Ms. Hampton-Furtick and unanimously carried, that the Board take this matter into executive session.

Recess

The Board recessed at 12:20 p.m. and returned to public session at 12:35 p.m.

Return to Public Session

Chesley Phillips, Nails, Skin, & Hair of America
Esthetics Instructor's License

Ms. Phillips stated she became licensed as a nail technician in 1991, esthetician in 1992 and as an instructor in 1993. She further stated that Ray Gambrell was the Board member who administered the instructor's exam and at the time she took the instructor's exam she had not been licensed as an esthetician for the required two years. She further stated he instructed her not to begin practicing as an esthetics instructor until she had been licensed for two years. She

noted at the time she received the instructor's license it was not separated into two licenses for teaching nail technology and esthetics. She went on to say when the instructor's license was separated into a nail technology instructor and an esthetics instructor license she asked about it at a continuing education providers meeting and it was discussed. She stated nothing was placed on paper as to whether she would obtain an instructor's license for esthetics, how many hours of continuing education she would have to take since she has nail technicians and esthetics. She asked the Board what she needed to do to have her esthetics license validated – did she need to submit another application or attend additional training. She said she has a nail technician instructor license.

Mr. Jones stated staff would have to ascertain information from the old records.

How Many Education Hours Apply Toward Her Cosmetology Instructor's License

Ms. Phillips stated since her car accident and the closing of her salon and since she is teaching in a school and teaching continuing education classes, she has decided to pursue a cosmetology instructor's license. She would like to know how many hours would transfer to a cosmetology class, however, the hours set by the board are based on an esthetics license that she does not have at this point. She noted that this matter is contingent on the answer to the first question.

Ms. Wider stated the only information she has is from when Ms. Phillips was licensed as an instructor in 1995.

MOTION

Ms. Settles moved to discuss in executive session. Hampton-Furtick seconded, carried.

Approval of Additional CEU Classes

Ms. Phillips stated she is seeking the Board's approval for additional continuing education classes. She indicated she would be using the same agenda, lesson plans and instructors. She further stated she has received requests from licensees to provide more classes.

MOTION

Ms. Gilmer moved the Board approve the additional continuing education classes.. Ms. Thompson seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

Approval of Location Change For CEU Classes in Hilton Head

Ms. Phillips stated she is requesting the Board's approval to move the continuing education classes in Hilton Head from the Holiday Inn to the Crowne Plaza Shipyard.

MOTION

Ms. Thompson made a motion, seconded by Ms. Webb and unanimously carried, that the Board approve Ms. Phillips' request for change of location in November 2006 and December 2006.

Approval of PCS Fail Letter (Remove Percentages from Letter)

Ms. Thompson stated there have been several questions on the letter PCS mails informing candidates they failed the practical exam. She went on to say the current PCS fail letter contains percentages of the areas in which they are sufficient; however, the percentage is not relevant since the grade it is not calculated on a percentage. She noted that when she spoke to Francine Tilley, with PCS, Ms. Tilley indicated it is a hardship on them as well and if the Board

approved the change, PCS would strike the percentages from the pass and fail letters mailed to candidates.

MOTION

Ms. Thompson moved the Board send PCS a letter stating they do not have to include percentages on any of their letters. Ms. Settles seconded the motion. Ms. Kinley noted the weaknesses would remain on the letter, but the weaknesses would not be in percentage form. The motion carried unanimously

Do Out of State Shows, Such as the Premier Show, Count Toward Continuing Education Credits?

The Board deferred this matter to executive session.

Approval of Proposal to Award Continuing Education Units for Cosmetology Courses - Bill Bradberry, SC Technical College System

Bill Bradberry appeared before the Board at this time. He stated the Board had requested at the last meeting.

Ms. Kinley stated the Board's feeling at that time that if the regulation was rewritten the accreditation program would be opened to any number of people who would submit that request at that time.

Ms. Dantzler stated the accreditation program is tied into the new law. She went on to say right now the technical colleges would need to continue submitting continuing education class certificates to USC. She indicated a regulation change would take approximately two years to make any change to the continuing education reporting system. She said the Board has potentially new sources of continuing education.

Fine Schedule

The Board had considered striking the fine schedule, however, it is not something that can be removed.

Discussion Items

Discussion on Regulation 35-10(C)(5)(b)

Mr. Jones stated a question had arisen regarding a substitute instructor for a second thirty days. He further stated the regulation does not contain language that would allow the Board's staff to grant permission up front another thirty days. He went on to say he thought the Board may wish to discuss a policy that could be put in place to keep these requests from appearing before the Board.

Ms. Kinley stated her problem with this issue is that it is a disservice to the student.

The Board deferred this matter to executive session.

Michelle Hampton-Furtick

Ms. Hampton-Furtick stated, "It has been brought to my attention about our seating arrangement and the fact that it is not balanced and certainly needs to be. Name placement has been moved seeing we all have had different seating upon my arrival on the Board. The

fact that it has been brought to my attention numerous times from numerous people I certainly think it is a very important issue that needs be addressed.”

The Board discussed the seating arrangements. Ms. Furtick stated she has seen a member move the nameplate after she arrived at the meeting. She noted she is worried about the way the public views the seating arrangements.

Ms. Hampton-Furtick stated, “The Board has been quoted as unanimously voting on the current bill about 65 years and older with 30 years of experience to be exempted from continuing education classes. Please for the record and my conscience I have been misinformed and voted on the information provided (see attachment). This should have clearly been a nay vote considering that administration does not have access to vital information to comply with this bill.”

The attached email from Ms. Kinley, dated March 6, 2006 and from Ms. Webb, dated March 9, 2006, read, in part:

“On Thursday, the house will hear Rep. Kirsch’s bill that has been introduced every year. This bill states that licensee’s in the cos [cosmetology] field that are 65 yrs of age with 30 yrs experience would be exempted from having to submit continuing education.”

Ms. Kinley stated that the bill being discussed on that day was the original bill Representative Kirsch submitted. She further stated that was all of the information she had on that bill at that time. She indicated the bill was later tweaked and passed.

Ms. Hampton-Furtick asked what Ms. Webb’s function is as legislative liaison. Ms. Webb stated she attends sub-committee and committee meetings. She indicated she was told about one hearing that she needed to attend and that meeting was held last year.

Ms. Dantzler suggested the Board ask staff to place Susan Duncan, the agency’s legislative liaison, on the agenda for a review of the legislative tracking system. She further stated Ms. Duncan tracks the bills that affect the agency and the boards. She indicated the bill that passed was recalled from committee and voted on the House floor which is not the traditional route for a bill to follow.

Ms. Pat Adams noted that the bill Ms. Furtick referenced died in subcommittee and the bill that passed was separate bill. She further stated that Ms. Dantzler was correct in what she said. She indicated that the bill was not on the agenda nor would it be on the agenda.

Ms. Hampton-Furtick stated, “During the last Board meeting as a Board member I felt as if my presence was not needed, due to the fact that everything was much well-planned, when to make a motion and very quickly seconded. I was asked several times why I was so quiet and this was why. As a Board member I don’t think I was appointed and confirmed to just “fill a seat.”

Ms. Furtick stated she sees a lot of whispering around the table during meeting and about making motions.

Ms. Kinley stated she always gives a long pause after she asks for discussion on a motion. She went on to say agendas are mailed in time for the Board members to review so the members would know what questions to ask when the issue is brought before the Board in the meeting.

Ms. Settles stated that Ms. Hampton-Furtick has made the observation and since it is on the record and the Board understands that it makes her uncomfortable the Board should take it under consideration for the future.

Ms. Dantzer stated technically the discussion of a motion comes after the motion has received a second. She said she does not stop the discussion prior to the motion but it does make her uncomfortable for discussion to take place prior to a motion and the second.

Ms. Thompson stated even if you are opposed to a motion, you may second it to move forward with the discussion.

Ms. Hampton-Furtick stated, "After reviewing the statutes and regulations I think we are taking things a little too fast with trying to implement an advanced esthetician license when the statute states 450 hours to obtain licensure and the regulation states 600 hours."

Ms. Hampton-Furtick stated, "I asked the Board's administrative assistant to place me on the agenda because of these issues and concerns. I was told I had to let her know in detail what I wanted to discuss because of the Freedom of Information Act. Please explain why this is when we do not give an explanation of our Board reports prior to a meeting. No one knows what we are going to say."

Ms. Dantzer stated if Board action is anticipated the topic must be placed on the agenda. She further stated that an agenda must include topics, and that an agenda cannot contain people as a prerequisite for Board action. She said Ms. Dickert has been instructed that when any person asks to appear before the Board to ask for the topic to be discussed since almost no one wants to appear before the Board and not ask for action. She noted Ms. Dickert was following legal guidance. She said that if Ms. Hampton-Furtick wanted to seek action to seat the Board by seniority or to change the rules of the meeting because it was not on the agenda. She indicated that if a person wanted to make a public comment no action could be taken, but could be placed on the agenda for the next meeting. She continued by saying that if a member has a matter serious enough to be raised before the Board it should be placed on the agenda so the Board has the option of taking action. She said she would give any member the same advice.

Mr. Bryant stated the Director was issued an invitation to attend the meeting; however, she was unable to attend. He further stated that she had indicated Mr. Bryant and Ms. Dantzer should be able to answer any questions the Board members had. He said that Ms. Youmans would be happy to meet with the full Board and management in her office at a time the Board deems appropriate if he or Ms. Dantzer could not answer the Board's questions.

Ms. Hampton-Furtick questioned the Board and staff if Ms. Kinley should be serving as chairman since she is the current president of NIC. Ms. Dantzer stated the Ethics Commission and current law does not object to Ms. Kinley serving as chairman as well as President of a national association. She noted that the agency has periodically submitted proposed legislation that would not allow such practice.

Ms. Hampton-Furtick's remarks were from a written report.

Ms. Dantzer stated changes to the Administrative Law Court could affect the Board if an evidentiary hearing was appealed. She noted that the Board members would receive a ten cents increase in mileage, however, the federal government hotel/motel rate has been locked in.

Board Member Reports

Michelle Hampton-Furtick

Ms. Hampton-Furtick attended the NIC examiner training on June 11-12, 2006. She asked for clarification on a matter discussed by the examiner instructor.

Kristy McMillan

Ms. McMillan had no report

Melanie Thompson

Ms. Thompson monitored a continuing education course for Horry-Georgetown Technical College on June 25, 2006.

Kathy Webb

Ms. Webb attended the NIC examiners training seminar on June 11-12, 2006.

Ruth Settles

Ms. Settles attended the NIC examiner training seminar on June 11-12, 2006

Delores Gilmer

Ms. Gilmer attended the NIC examiner training seminar on June 11-12, 2006

Reciprocity Report

During May 2006 the Board licensed forty-two cosmetologists and ten estheticians by reciprocity.

In June 2006 the Board licensed thirty-five cosmetologists and 10 estheticians by reciprocity.

Public Comments

Mr. Lochridge stated he appreciates the time and effort the Board members put into serving on the Board. He further stated he would be exempt from continuing education. He noted it would be an interesting time with the new law and the trade shows would be a thorny issue.

Ms. Linda Beach stated most esthetics school owners are losing instructors. She noted that school owners have their students' best interest at heart. She further stated that there are just over one dozen esthetics instructors within South Carolina and she employs or has employed half of them. She noted she had hired a cosmetology instructor for an esthetics class and it was a disaster.

Ms. Pat Adams asked the Board for clarification on the approved the age limit and the years in the business. Ms. Kinley replied affirmatively. She further stated had the Board known about the bill that passed it could have been further along in working on the details. She noted the Board had no idea this bill was at the State House.

Ms. Settles stated the vote was not unanimous. Ms. Kinley stated it was unanimous at the time and that Ms. Settles later wrote her disagreement to the bill, which was noted.

Mr. Ken Lake stated a program director in Aiken was informed that students had to accumulate 900 hours of instruction prior to submitting an application for the examination. He asked the Board and staff if that statement was true. He further stated it may be a PCS stipulation. Mr. Jones stated he was not aware of such a mandate, however, he would research this matter.

Ms. Chesley Phillips stated she has experience in working with trade shows and offered to work with the Board in this matter,

Ms. Delores Rush, of PCS, asked that the Board members inform them immediately of any problems when they are monitoring the exam.

Executive Session

MOTION

Ms. Settles made a motion, seconded by Ms. Webb and unanimously carried, that the Board enter executive session.

Return to Public Session

Ms. Kinley noted for the record that no motions were made and no votes taken during executive session

Approval of Emergency Instructor – Miller-Motte Tech (Ashley Cam Darby)

MOTION

Ms. Hampton-Furtick moved the Board deny this request due to lack of work experience and the fact it would take approximately six months for Ms. Darby to become licensed. Ms. Webb seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

Approval of Instructors – SC Massage & Esthetics Institute

a. Heather Langston

b. Kim Slaubaugh

MOTION

Ms. Gilmer made a motion, seconded by Ms. Thompson and unanimously carried, that the Board approve Ms. Langston and Ms. Slaubaugh and that the Board direct staff to conduct an audit on the instructors at all three campuses of SC Massage & Esthetics Institute.

Chesley Phillips – Esthetics Instructor's License

Board Opinion on Bill 3062

MOTION

Ms. Thompson moved the Board's interpretation of Bill 3062 would include all licensed individuals of the SC Board of Cosmetology. Ms. Gilmer seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

Ms. Kinley asked Ms. Phillips if Ray Gambrell administered her esthetics examination. Ms. Phillips stated Mr. Gambrell issued her nail instructor's license. Ms. Kinley asked Ms. Phillips when she became a licensed esthetician. Ms. Phillips stated she became licensed as an esthetician in 1992 and that she was instructed in esthetics and nails at Chris Logan Beauty School. Ms. Kinley stated Mr. Jones stated Mr. Jones would research this matter further.

Adjournment

MOTION

Ms. Gilmer moved the meeting be adjourned. The motion was seconded by Ms. Thompson and unanimously carried.

The July 10, 2006 meeting of the SC Board of Cosmetology adjourned at 3:08 p.m.